



# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicant** 

Mojtaba Mirashrafi, Michael F. Buondonno, John D.

Elliott, Kenneth L. Keeler, Keith A. Pirkl, Al J. Simon,

George L. Taylor, Mark D. Zuber

Title of Invention

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR VALUE ADDED

**CONTENT DELIVERY** 

Filed

:

March 14, 1997

Serial No.

08/818,771

**Examiner** 

:

Nguyen, S

**Art Unit** 

2664

RECEIVED

APR 01 2002

Technology Center 2600

Box Amendments Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

# **AMENDMENT**

Sir:

The applicants have amended the claims 1, 4, 11, 17, 19, 21 and 29 as follows, and have deleted claims 10 and 20. A clean replacement for these amended claims are enclosed for further examination.

#### IN THE CLAIMS:

Amended Claims:

1. (Thrice Amended) In a bridge server, a method comprising:

receiving by said bridge server from a client system a request for content

targeting a network server, and



### COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

olicant : Mojtaba Mirashrafi, Michael F. Buondonno, John D.

Elliott, Kenneth L. Keeler, Keith A. Pirkl, Al J. Simon,

George L. Taylor, Mark D. Zuber

Title of Invention : METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR VALUE ADDED

**CONTENT DELIVERY** 

Filed : March 14, 1997

Serial No. : 08/818,771

Examiner : Nguyen, S

Art Unit : 2664 'echnology Center 2600

Box Non-Fee Amendments Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

### **AMENDMENT**

Sir:

This is responsive to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated March 6, 2002 in connection with the above-identified patent application.

The Notice states that pages containing amended claims 19, 21 and 29 are missing from the Amendment that applicant filed on January 10, 2002. According to our files, such pages were in fact included in the Amendment. Enclosed is an additional copy of the fully filed Amendment.

Additionally, on the clean copy of amended claim 21, it was inadvertently numbered as 20. We have enclosed both the originally filed Amendment, and a replacement page showing claim 21 correctly labeled as such.

Finally, the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment refers to an Amendment filed on February 12, 2002. The last Amendment was mailed on January 10, 2002 and received by the Patent Office on February 12, 2002. Since the Certificate of Mailing procedure was used, the Amendment should have been deemed filed on January 10, 2002. In any event, since both dates are within the three-month initial period for a response, it is not believed any action is required at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

KAPLAN & GILMAN, L.L.P. 900 Route 9 North Woodbridge, NJ 07095 Telephone (732) 634-7634

**DATED: March 11, 2002** 

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** 

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal service as first class mail, in a postage prepaid envelope, addressed to Box Non-Fee Amendments, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on March 11, 2002.

D . 1 M .1 11 2002

Signed \_

Print Name Paula M. Halsey